



Strictly U-Pseudo Regular U-spaces

Prof. Dr. Swapan Kumar Das,

Department of Mathematics, University of Development Alternative (UDA),
 House No.-80, Satmasjid Road, Dhanmondi, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract: In this paper Strictly U -pseudo regular U- spaces have been defined and a few important properties of these spaces have been justified. This is the fourth paper of U- Pseudo regular U- spaces. A number of important theorems regarding this space have been established. Strictly U -pseudo normal is not defined for U-spaces.

Keywords: U- space, U-Regular, U-Compact, U-Continuous, Strictly U-Pseudo Regular U-Spaces

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54D10, 54D15, 54A05, 54A40, 54G12, 54C08

I. Introduction

A topological space X is said to be **regular** if for each non-empty closed set F of X and any point $x \in X$, such that $x \notin F$ (i.e. x is the external point of F), there exist two disjoint open sets V and W such that $x \in V$ and $F \subseteq W$. In Paper [3] a pseudo regular topological spaces has been defined by replacing a closed subset F by a compact subset K in the definition of a regular space. The concept of U- Pseudo regularity has been extended to U- spaces in previous paper [2]. These spaces were introduced and studied in [4] and [5]. Here the concept of Strictly U-Pseudo regularity of [1] has been extended to U-spaces. In this paper we have given examples of Strictly U-pseudo regular U- spaces. A number of important theorems regarding these spaces have been established.

Here we have introduced strictly pseudo regular U- spaces and studied their important properties. Many results have been proved about these U-spaces. Strictly U- pseudo normal U –spaces is not established. We have also established characterizations of such U-spaces.

II. Preliminaries

We begin with some basic definitions and examples related to U– spaces.

U-Spaces

Definition 2.1[5]: A **U-structure** on a non empty set X is a collection $\mathcal{U}$ of subsets of X having the following properties: (i) Φ and X are in $\mathcal{U}$, (ii) Any union of members of $\mathcal{U}$ is in $\mathcal{U}$.

The ordered pair $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is called a **U-space**. A U-space which is not a topological space is called a **proper U-space**. The members of $\mathcal{U}$ are called **U-open set** and the complement of a U-open set is called a **U-closed set**.

A U- structure and a U-space have been called a **supra-topology** and a **supra-topological space** respectively by some authors (see [6], [7], [8], [9])

In general we have

Topological space $\Rightarrow$ U-space, Topological space $\not\Leftarrow$ U-space

Example 2.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{X, \Phi, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Here $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a U-space but not a topological space.

Definition 2.2 [4]: Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ be a U-space. A **U-open cover** of a subset K of X is a collection $\{G_\alpha\}$ of U-open Sets such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_\alpha G_\alpha$.

Definition 2.3 [4]: A U-space X is said to be **U-compact** if for every U-open cover of X has a finite sub-cover.

A subset K of a U-space X is said to be **U-compact** if every U-open cover of K has finite sub-cover.

Example 2.2: Let $X = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{2\mathbb{N}, 4\mathbb{N}, 8\mathbb{N}, 16\mathbb{N}, \dots, 2^n \mathbb{N}, \dots, \mathbb{N}, \Phi\}$. Then X is U-compact.



Let $\Phi \neq A \subseteq X$ and $\mathbf{C}$ be a U open cover of A. Let n_0 be smallest + ve integer such that $2^{n_0} N \in \mathbf{C}$.

Then $A \subseteq 2^{n_0} N$. So $\{2^{n_0} N\}$ is a finite sub-cover of $\mathbf{C}$. Therefore any subset K of X, $K \neq X$ is U- compact.

Definition 2.4 [4]: A U-space X is called **Hausdorff** if, for each $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists disjoint U- open sets G and H in X such that $x \in G$, $y \in H$.

Example 2.3: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{\{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X, \phi\}$. Then $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a Hausdorff U-space.

Definition 2.5 [4]: A U- space X is called **U- regular space** if for any U- closed set F of X and any point $x \in X$, such that $x \notin F$ (i.e. x is the external point of F) there exist two disjoint U-open sets G and H such that $x \in G$ and $F \subseteq H$.

For a U-space, ‘Hausdorff’ and regular are independent concepts.

Example of a U-space which is regular but not U-Hausdorff space

Example 2.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a U-space. Here the U-closed sets are $X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}$. We easily see that X is a U- regular space but it is not U- Hausdorff space, since b and c cannot be separated by disjoint U- open sets. Also X is not a topological space.

Definition 2.6 [4]: A U- space X is said to be **U- completely regular** if for any U- closed subset F of X and $x \in X$ which does not belongs to F, there exists a U- continuous function $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(F) = 1$. Here $[0, 1]$ is considered as a sub space of the usual U-space R.

Example 2.5: The set of real numbers R is U-completely regular

Definition 2.7: Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{U}_1)$ be two U- spaces. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be **U- continuous** if for each U-open set G in Y, $f^{-1}(G)$ is U-open set in X.

Example 2.6: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$
 $Y = \{p, q, r\}$, $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{Y, \phi, \{p\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}\}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $f(a) = p$, $f(b) = q$, $f(c) = r$, $f(d) = r$. Then f is U- continuous.
 Here $(X, \mathcal{U})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{U}_1)$ are two U-spaces but not a topological spaces.

Definition 2.8 [4]: A U-space X is said to be **U- Normal Space** if for each pair of disjoint U-closed sets F_1 and F_2 , there exist U- open sets G_1 and G_2 such that $F_1 \subseteq G_1$, $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$.

Comments: Generally U-regular space is not U-normal space and U-normal space is not U-regular space. Generally U- pseudo regular space is not U- pseudo normal space.

Example 2.7: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Closed sets are $X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}$.

Here $\{a, b\} \subseteq \{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\} \subseteq \{c, d\}$. $\therefore$ X is U-normal space. Here $\{c, d\}$ is closed set, $b \notin \{c, d\}$. But there does not exist disjoint U-open sets containing $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\}$ respectively.

Pseudo Regular U- Spaces

Definition 2.9[2]: A X U-space will be called **pseudo regular** if for every U-compact subset K of X and for every $x \in X$, $x \notin K$, there exist U-open sets G_1 and G_2 in X with $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$ such that $x \in G_1$ and $K \subseteq G_2$.

Example 2.8: Let $X = \mathbb{Z}$, and The U-structure generated by $\{\{Z, \phi\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{(b, \infty) \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}\}\}$, $\mathcal{U} = \langle \{\{Z, \phi\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{(b, \infty) \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}\}\} \rangle$. Then X is pseudo regular U-space.



Proof: The subsets of X are: $(-\infty, a]$, $a \in \mathbb{Z} \dots (1)$, $[(b, \infty)$, $b \in \mathbb{Z} \dots (2)$, $[(c, d)]$, $c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \dots (3)$.

The sets in (3) are finite, and so, compact.

If $A = (-\infty, a]$, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then any U -open cover C of A must contain $(-\infty, a')$ where $a \leq a'$. Then $\{(-\infty, a')\}$ is a finite sub cover of C . Thus, the sets in (1) are compact.

Similarly, we can show that the sets in (2) are compact.

Thus, every non empty subset of X is compact.

Let K be a compact subset of X and $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$.

Case-I: If K is a set of the form (1) i.e., $K = (-\infty, a]$, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x > a$, ($x \geq a$ if $K = (-\infty, a]$)

Let choose $G_1 = [(a+1, \infty)$ if $K = (-\infty, a]$, $G_1 = [a, \infty)$ if $K = (-\infty, a)$, and $G_2 = (-\infty, a]$ if $K = (-\infty, a]$,

$G_2 = (-\infty, a)$ if $K = (-\infty, a)$. Thus, in each case $x \in G_1$, $K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$.

Case-II: If K is a set of the form (2), i.e. $K = [(b, \infty)$, for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x \leq b$, or $x < b$, according as $K = (b, \infty)$, or $[b, \infty)$.

Let choose $G_1 = (-\infty, b]$, $G_2 = (b, \infty)$, if $K = (b, \infty)$; $G_1 = (-\infty, b)$, $G_2 = [b, \infty)$ if $K = [b, \infty)$

Then for each case, $x \in G_1$, $K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$.

Case-III: Now let $K = [(c, d)]$, for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, (i) $x \leq c$ and / or $x \geq d$, if $K = (c, d)$,

(ii) $x < c$, and/ or $x \geq d$, if $K = [c, d)$, (iii) $x \leq c$, and / or $x > d$, if $K = (c, d]$, (iv) $x < c$, and / or $x > d$, if $K = [c, d]$

For (i), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c] \cup (d, \infty)$, $G_2 = (c, d)$, for (ii), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c) \cup [d, \infty)$, $G_2 = [c, d)$,

For (iii), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c] \cup (d, \infty)$, $G_2 = (c, d]$, for (iv), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c) \cup [d, \infty)$, $G_2 = [c, d]$.

Then, for each case $x \in G_1$, $K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. **Thus, X is pseudo regular U -space.**

Example 2.9: A U -space X may be regular but not pseudo regular.

Proof: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $U = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a\}\}$.

Here closed sets are $\{c, d\}$, $\{a, b\}$, $\{b, c, d\}$, $\{b\}$, X , $\emptyset$. Then (X, U) is U -regular. For, $\{a, c\}$ is compact, $b \notin \{a, c\}$, but $\{a, c\}$ and b cannot be separated by disjoint U -open sets. Here (X, U) is not pseudo regular.

III. Strictly U - Pseudo Regular U - Spaces

Definition 3.1: A U – space X will be called **Strictly U -pseudo-regular** if for each U - compact set K and for every $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$, there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(K) = 1$.

Example 3.1: Let K be a U - compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \notin K$. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is U - Hausdorff, K is closed and since $\mathbb{R}$ is U -completely regular, there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(K) = 1$. Thus $\mathbb{R}$ is strictly U - pseudo regular.

Theorem 3.1 [1]: Every strictly U -pseudo regular compact space is U -completely regular.

Proof: Let X be U -compact and strictly U -pseudo-regular. Let K be a closed subset of X and let $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$. Since X is U -compact, K is U -compact. Again, since X is strictly U -pseudo regular, there exists a U -continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(K) = 1$. Therefore X is U - completely regular.

Theorem 3.2[1]: Every U -completely regular Hausdorff space is strictly U -pseudo regular.

Proof: Let X be a U -completely regular Hausdorff space. Let K be a U -compact subset of X and $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$. Since X is U - Hausdorff, K is U -closed. Now, since X is U -completely regular, there exists a U - continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(K) = 1$. Therefore X is strictly U - pseudo regular.

Theorem 3.3 [1]: A U -space X is strictly U - pseudo regular if for each $x \in X$ and any U - compact set K not containing x , there exists an U -open set H of X such that $x \in H \subseteq H \subseteq K^c$.



Proof: Let X be a strictly U pseudo regular space and Let K be U -compact in X . Let $x \notin K$ i.e., $x \in K^C$. Since X is strictly U -pseudo regular, there exists a U -continuous function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x)=0$ and $f(K)=1$. Let $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $a < b$. Then $[0, a)$ and $(b, 1]$ are two disjoint open sets of $[0, 1]$. Since f is U -continuous $f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $f^{-1}((b, 1])$ are two disjoint open sets of X and obviously $x \in f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $K \subseteq f^{-1}((b, 1])$.

Let $U = f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $V = f^{-1}((b, 1])$. Then $x \in U$, $K \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Then $U \subseteq V^C \subseteq K^C$.

So $U \subseteq V^C = V^C \subseteq K^C$. Writing $U = H$, we have $x \in H \subseteq H^C \subseteq K^C$.

Theorem 3.4[1]: Any subspace of a strictly U -pseudo regular space is strictly U -pseudo-regular.

Proof: Let X be a strictly U -pseudo regular space and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $y \in Y$ and K be a U -compact subset of Y such that $y \notin K$. Since $y \in Y$, so $y \in X$ and since K is U -compact in Y , so K is U -compact in X . Since X is strictly U -pseudo regular, there exists a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(y) = 0$ and $f(K) = 1$. Therefore the restriction function f of f is a continuous function $\bar{f}: Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\bar{f}(y) = 0$ and $\bar{f}(K) = 1$. Hence Y is strictly U -pseudo regular.

Corollary: Let X be a U -space and A, B are two strictly U -pseudo regular sub space of X . Then $A \cap B$ is strictly U -pseudo regular.

Proof: Since $A \cap B$ being a subspace of both A and B , $A \cap B$ is strictly U -pseudo regular by the above theorem.

Theorem 3.5[1]: Every strictly U -pseudo regular space is U -Hausdorff.

Proof: Let X be a strictly U -pseudo regular space. Let $x, y \in X$. with $x \neq y$. Then $\{x\}$ is a U -compact set and $y \notin \{x\}$. Since X is strictly U -pseudo regular, there exists a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(y)=0$ and $f(\{x\})=1$. Let $a, b \in [0, 1]$. And $a < b$. Then $[0, a)$ and $(b, 1]$ are two disjoint open sets of $[0, 1]$. Since f is U -continuous, $f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $f^{-1}((b, 1])$ are two disjoint open sets of X and obviously $y \in f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $\{x\} \subseteq f^{-1}((b, 1])$ i.e., $x \in f^{-1}((b, 1])$. Therefore X is U -Hausdorff.

Theorem 3.6 [1]: Every strictly U -pseudo regular space is U -pseudo regular.

Proof: Let X be a strictly U -pseudo regular space. Let K be a compact subset of X and $x \in X$. with $x \notin K$. Since X is strictly U -pseudo regular, there exists a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x)=0$ and $f(K)=1$. Let $a, b \in [0, 1]$. And $a < b$. Then $[0, a)$ and $(b, 1]$ are two disjoint open sets of $[0, 1]$. Since f is U -continuous, $f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $f^{-1}((b, 1])$ are two disjoint open sets of X and obviously $x \in f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $K \subseteq f^{-1}((b, 1])$. Therefore X is U -pseudo regular.

Result and Discussion:

It has been proved that for U -spaces, Every strictly U -pseudo regular compact space is U -completely regular; Every U -completely regular Hausdorff space is strictly U -pseudo regular; A U -space X is strictly U -pseudo regular if for each $x \in X$ and any U -compact set K not containing x , there exists an U -open set H of X such that $x \in H \subseteq H^C \subseteq K^C$; Any subspace of a strictly U -pseudo regular space is strictly U -pseudo-regular; Every strictly U -pseudo regular space is U -Hausdorff; Every strictly U -pseudo regular space is U -pseudo regular.

References

- [1]. Biswas S.K., Akhter N. and Majumdar S. (2018), Strictly Pseudo Regular and Strictly Pseudo Normal Topological spaces, International Journal of Trend in Research and Development, Volume 5(5), ISSN: 2394 – 9333, 130 – 132.
- [2]. Das S. K., Majumdar S. (2023), Pseudo Regular I – spaces and Pseudo Regular U -spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, Volume- 11, Issue- 1, 2023 (Jan - March), ISSN – 2384-0580, 18 – 24.
- [3]. Biswas S.K., Akhter N. and Majumdar S. (2018), Pseudo Regular and Pseudo Normal Topological spaces, International Journal of Trend in Research and Development, Volume 5(1), ISSN: 2394 – 9333, 426 – 430.
- [4]. Akhter N., Das S.K. and Majumdar S. (2014), On Hausdorff and Compact U -spaces, Annals of Pure and



- Applied Mathematics, Vol. 5, No. 2, 168 – 182.
- [5]. Das S. K., Akhter N. and Majumdar S. (2014), Generalizations of Topological spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, Vol. 2. Issue. 4, 439 – 446.
 - [6]. Andrijevic D. (1996), On b-open sets, Mat.Vesnik, 48, 59 –64.
 - [7]. Devi R., Sampath kumar S. and Caldas M. (2008), On supra α open sets and S_α -continuous functions, General Mathematics, Vol. 16, Nr. 2, 77 – 84.
 - [8]. Mashhour A. S., et al. (April 1983), On supra topological spaces, Indian J. pure appl, Math, 14(4), 502-510.
 - [9]. Sayed O. R. and Noiri T. (2007), On supra b-open sets and supra b-continuity on topological spaces, European Journal of pure and applied Mathematics, Vol. 3, No. 2, 295- 302